

Overview and Scrutiny Committee
Tuesday, 19 July 2016
2.00 pm
Council Chamber, Barnsley Town Hall

# MINUTES

Present

Councillors Ennis (Chair), G. Carr, Charlesworth, Clarke, Clements, Franklin, Frost, Gollick, Daniel Griffin, Hampson, W. Johnson, Lofts, Makinson, Mathers, Philips, Pourali, Spence, Tattersall, Unsworth and Wilson together with co-opted members Ms P. Gould and Ms J. Whitaker and

## 12 Apologies for Absence - Parent Governor Representatives

No apologies for absence were received in accordance with Regulation 7 (6) of the Parent Governor Representatives (England) Regulations 2001.

### **13** Declarations of Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Interest

There were declarations of interest from Councillors G Carr, Tattersall and Wilson as Members of the Corporate Parenting Panel.

#### 14 Minutes of the Previous Meeting

The minutes of the previous meeting held on the 7<sup>th</sup> June 2016 were approved as a true and accurate record. A Member of the committee mentioned the first action point as regards the number of referrals from GPs to the Royal Voluntary Service in the central area as there had still only been 1 referral. A member also advised that work was underway in relation to action point 3 regarding the development and implementation of a file in GP practices containing information on local community groups/services.

### 15 Corporate Parenting Panel Annual Report 2015-16

The Chair welcomed the following witnesses to the meeting:

- Mel John-Ross, Service Director, Children's Social Care and Safeguarding, BMBC
- Sharon Galvin, Designated Nurse-Safeguarding Children, Barnsley Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)
- Councillor Joe Unsworth, Corporate Parenting Panel Member
- Councillor Margaret Bruff, Cabinet Spokesperson People (Safeguarding)
- Councillor Tim Cheetham, Cabinet Spokesperson People (Achieving Potential)
- Andrea Wake, Children's Participation Officer
- Care4Us Council Representatives
- Barnsley Foster Carers

Members proceeded to ask the following questions:

I. What are the key challenges for the services and the Corporate Parenting Panel (CPP) for 2016/17?

The committee were advised the priorities of the CPP are to improve educational outcomes, challenge school absences and the exclusion or lack of full time and suitable provision for all children in care. For the year ahead the priority is to improve the emotional health and wellbeing of children in care and their access to timely help and intervention.

II. The report details the number of looked after children who achieved KS2 in Reading, Writing and Maths in 2015 was 30%, which is less than the national average of 60%; what actions are being taken to improve this figure?

Members were advised the CPP has set up an Education Steering Group which focuses on all our children in care. This is attended by our dedicated Virtual Head Teacher who will identify and progress help and support needs of our children. Comparison with the national average is misleading due to the small cohorts of children each year where the performance of only 1 or 2 children can affect the overall figures. Each child in the cohort has a personal education plan (PEP).

III. Are all key stakeholders represented on the board and engaged in its work?

The group were advised we have strong partnership arrangements in Barnsley which is one of the key strengths of the CPP. We have good attendance at the CPP and invite other agencies to provide information and reports. Cllr Bruff attends the Care4Us Council who run and chair their own meetings and challenged us on this report. Following one of the recommendations from the last Ofsted inspection, to increase the input from young people, the CPP meetings have now been moved to the evening to make it easier for them to attend. Colleagues are working hard to ensure the voice of children in care is at the centre of all we do and in particular are trying to ensure the voice of younger children in care is heard.

IV. Do the two young people representing the Care4Us Council feel the CPP is acting as a 'pushy parent' and doing the best for them?

The representatives nodded and on their behalf, the Children's Participation Officer advised the committee that the children are definitely pushed by their foster carers to ensure they attend school, complete their homework and take part in after school activities.

V. Are our educational aspirations for looked after children to achieve the national average?

Members were advised our aspiration is to improve the achievements of all young people in Barnsley and there should be no difference between looked after children and the rest of the cohort.

VI. In relation to the 'Youth Offending' figures detailed in the report which indicate a positive trend; how are we able to be sure of the statement that the

offending behaviour being dealt with is not as a result of living within a children's home?

The group were advised that historically young people in care were being convicted of offences and unnecessarily gaining a criminal record due to damaging something and this being reported to the police. Whereas, if a child not in care damaged something, then parents wouldn't ring the police. It is hoped that this scenario has now improved thereby avoiding young people unnecessarily coming into the criminal justice system.

The group were informed the number of young people committing offences were very small. The individuals are known to the service and often offences occur before young people come into care, however the sanctions end up taking place whilst they are in care.

VII. Are both young people representing the Care4Us Council happy with the level of care they receive?

The young people confirmed they were.

VIII. A Member raised concerns over Care Leavers' Accommodation and asked for it to be on a future agenda of the CPP.

The service acknowledged it is important for our care leavers to be in fit for purpose accommodation and agreed to include this on a future CPP agenda. Recently, two of our young people in care presented a report to Cabinet regarding Care Leavers' Accommodation. The young people had undertaken a survey amongst themselves around what they wanted and their requests were included as part of our Placement and Sufficiency Strategy.

IX. How can Members not involved directly in the meetings, support the work of the CPP and our children in care?

Members were advised to acknowledge their responsibility as 'Corporate Parents', to both challenge and scrutinise the CPP Annual Report. Also to champion children in care and make sure they get the right response from all services.

X. How can we ensure our children in care who are placed outside the Barnsley boundary, receive the same level of care as those who are placed within?

The group were advised the service performs very well in this respect as the majority of children are placed within the borough and are less than 20 miles from their home address. The children placed out of the area receive regular visits from their social worker and the meetings are held with the child on their own, outside their placement to ensure they are able to voice any concerns. Each child also has their care plan reviewed every 6 months by an Independent Reviewing Officer (IRO) who is responsible for making sure care plans are progressing in a timely way. Also, we only place our children in places rated as 'good' or 'outstanding' by Ofsted.

XI. Do we have any comparative information regarding how our looked after children who are placed outside the borough perform academically at Key Stage 4 (GCSE) compared to those attending schools within the borough?

The committee were advised the service does have the comparative data, which is analysed by the Virtual Head, to identify whether there are any trends. Even though some children are in placements outside the Barnsley boundary they may attend a Barnsley school. Children in placements outside the Barnsley borough are placed in Ofsted rated schools that are 'Good' or 'Outstanding'

XII. If educational attainment has been good within a particular area, would you look to place another young person in that area?

Members were advised we go through a rigorous process before we make out of area placements and it would be for a specific reason such as specialist care not available in our Borough. The main consideration for a placement would be whether it was the best thing for the child/young person, not just a placement in relation to educational attainment.

XIII. The report identifies a Children's Residential Establishment within Barnsley, whose Ofsted rating within 2 years has gone from 'Outstanding' to 'Good'; is there a reason why this happened?

The group were advised this particular home has moved from one area of Barnsley to another and the previous rating cannot be transferred to the new location. Ratings are also not a like for like comparison as it is indicative of the cohort.

XIV. If Ofsted were to come and inspect our local service now, what would you expect the rating to be?

The committee were advised, that since 2014 improvements have been made and the Improvement Notice has been lifted. An officer group was brought together to drive the improvements and we have a continuous service improvement plan. There are still improvements to be made in embedding early help.

The service commented that it is important for young people to attend scrutiny meetings to see how the service they use is cross examined and also the level of interest from Councillors. It was also highlighted that over the last 3 years a positive change to the CPP has been rather than looking after children in care as part of local government, we have taken the stance of approaching the CPP as 'what would we do as a parent'. This has resulted in apprenticeships being put aside for our children in care and having celebrations of their achievements.

The Chair thanked the witnesses for their attendance and contribution for this part of the meeting, especially the young people representing the Care4Us Council.

### 16 Barnsley Town Centre Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO)

The Chair welcomed the witnesses to the meeting which included:

- Wendy Lowder, Interim Executive Director, Communities Directorate
- Paul Hussey, Interim Service Director, Stronger, Safer Healthier Communities Directorate
- Paul Brannan, Head of Safer Barnsley, Communities Directorate
- Melanie Fitzpatrick, Strategy & Operations Manager, Communities Directorate

- Councillor Jenny Platts, Cabinet Spokesperson, Communities Directorate
- Mark Lynam, Head of Economic Development, Place Directorate
- Chief Inspector Jakkie Hardy, South Yorkshire Police
- Inspector Julie Mitchell, South Yorkshire Police

Paul Hussey advised the committee the report had been compiled following a12 week review of the PSPO. This demonstrates a good example of partnership working and is in the broader context of enforcement and behaviour change. Some interesting metrics have arisen as a result of early findings; however Members were advised due to its infancy to accept these with a degree of caution until further intelligence becomes available.

I. Why are there more incidents on a Monday, than any other day of the week?

The committee were advised there have been a number of surprising findings and there has not been a quiet day. There can be incidents at any time or day of the week, sometimes starting at 8 or 9 o'clock in the morning.

II. Having observed an incident involving someone who was drunk outside the Yorkshire Bank in Peel Square at around 4.00pm; what time do the Enforcement Officers (EO's) finish, and have some individuals now recognised their patrol patterns?

Members were advised both the Council and Police have dedicated resources to this which includes a response team. Their presence cannot be guaranteed at all times of the day; however we try to ensure they are at key locations at key times. Yorkshire Bank have asked for support therefore the police have put officers on from 7am to ensure staff at the bank are not intimidated as they go to work. The EO's are working with businesses so they become familiar with our staff as well as police officers, so they know who to contact and this helps to instil confidence as they know there is someone they can take their concerns to.

III. Is the proactive approach in actively encouraging retailers to reduce the strength of cheap alcohol on sale in the town centre proving to be successful?

The group were advised the service is working with colleagues in Public Health and Regulatory Services to ensure retailers are not selling individual cans of high strength alcohol. We are working with retailers to do a voluntary 'reduce the strength' scheme. The response from retailers has generally been good, although there are still some who have not been as co-operative; in these cases our colleagues in licensing are providing further assistance.

IV. Whilst there has been a vast improvement within the town centre, what is being done to ensure we are not merely dispersing these individuals to the perimeter of the town, such as to Morrisons, or the new markets car park?

The committee were advised one of the key risks identified with the PSPO was the potential of displacement. We have put a lot of focus on areas we know are an issue; however there has still been an element of displacement. The size of groups which have been displaced are small which has made it easier to manage; however we need to be clear of the impact on other local residents.

V. Are there any patterns to the behaviours of these groups, such as them being followed by our EOs and then them going back the area where they were displaced from?

Members were advised this is not the case, we have intelligence networks and know where they are, but there are no set patterns in their behaviour. If a direction to leave is given then those people can't return to the area for 48 hours.

VI. The report does not provide information on people who are sleeping rough; has the introduction of the PSPO adversely affected 'rough sleepers' who may have become criminalised as part of this process? What has been done to help these people and have there been any success stories?

The group were advised the service employs a 'connected approach' to assist people, not just enforce or displace them. We work with individuals to sign-post them to appropriate support and help; however the service was pleased to advise there have been success stories; firstly, someone who had slept rough for many years had now found employment with a local employer as well as accommodation. A second person who had been sleeping rough for a long time was also working for the same local employer and had found secure housing.

VII. Does the Homeless and Housing Advice team have any information on the work being done with these individuals?

Members were advised the Homeless Prevention Plan is being worked on and will then be brought to Cabinet. We currently have a triage system regarding help and advice and how individuals can get in touch with services. The service also asked Members to note a report going through Cabinet regarding a change in the commissioning of services for people with multiple and complex needs. This new model will provide a better offer for local people.

VIII. The people being dealt with in the Town Centre have often got multiple problems such as addiction to drugs and alcohol and displaying anti-social behaviour; how are we dealing with this practically on a day to day basis such as literature given to people and training for our officers?

The committee were advised when the PSPO was introduced, they wanted to avoid criminalising vulnerable people, who often have complex needs. The services were very clear from the start that the teams working in the Town Centre needed a broad understanding of relevant issues. Therefore we have done a lot of work with key support agencies so that front line officers are able to sign post people to where they can obtain additional support such as help with any housing, work or financial issues. We have been able to build up an intelligence picture of the people we deal with; this helps us create tailored plans and to get underneath individual issues and prevent problems. We have got a good approach, there is still more to do and some problems will only be solved in the longer term.

IX. To help address underlying issues we appear to have points of contact, and 'one to one' support is being given; is there anything else we could be doing?

The group were advised that in reference to the previous answer a strategic approach is being used which enables good connectivity in our response. This is

providing a better insight into individuals' circumstances so we can understanding what's happening and how to address the problems.

X. Have you been able to learn from best practice in other areas?

Members were advised the service had looked at the introduction of a PSPO in Lincoln town centre, which was used in relation to use of psychoactive substances. As the legislation is only 18 months old and relatively new it is difficult to review other areas, however anecdotally there has been positive feedback from our businesses in the area and the individuals involved.

XI. Has the border of the PSPO had a detrimental effect on its success, as it incorporates residential areas which are home to some of the individuals responsible for causing the problems? Also, is the reason for the success the additional manpower or the PSPO itself?

The committee were advised that during the consultation period the border was amended to include Sheffield Road, due to the prevalence of 'Legal Highs' being sold in this area. However it makes it difficult to move people on when they live in the area. Following the PSPO having been operational for 3 months the service is now considering a review of this and we may have a central area PSPO regarding businesses and a separate one for residential areas, with different terms. As of 1<sup>st</sup> March 2016 we only had the same number of officers however this number has now been increased which has helped to address the issues.

XII. Can the current level of resources be maintained?

The group were advised the implementation of the PSPO has been included in the Communities Directorate 2020 plan as a cost pressure for the Council and the Police. Following the PSPO's introduction, there has been a positive response from the comments posted on social media; therefore we hope to include it as part of the financial plan.

A member of the committee commented that we mustn't let these problems spoil our town centre regeneration.

XIII. How have we learned from best practice across the UK as well as other countries?

Members were advised the services recognise there is learning to be gained from abroad. For example, with immigration, there are now different cultures living together which we need to engage with to ensure there is community cohesion across the borough as well as people understanding our laws.

XIV. Have there been examples of these practices in other countries?

The committee were advised that Trading Standards in Belfast have conducted investigations into legal highs to understand root causes of problems and we are able to benefit from their findings as to 'what has worked' and 'what hasn't'. When we had plenty of resources lots of organisations worked insularly, whereas now we need to change ways of working and the culture of staff so that all different agencies can work together.

XV. Are the Voluntary Marshalls being used properly vetted and supervised?

The group were advised that in the early days they considered voluntary provision that exists such as street pastors. It is regulated and we need to ensure it fits into our overall plans. The detail has not yet been worked out but we are currently working with the voluntary sector on their involvement and it will be part of our future plans.

XVI. Will there be support readily available for individuals with mental health problems?

Members were advised there have been lengthy discussions with South West Yorkshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust (SWYPFT) who deliver mental health services in Barnsley, as well as Barnsley Hospital; both of whom have been on board with this work. How we speak to individuals and understand their issues is critical to our success in this area. We are having ongoing conversations with primary and secondary health care services. For some services, individuals can self-refer; however some people don't want to be institutionalised and there are lots of things that can be addressed outside these settings.

The committee were advised that this work will form part of the review; also there is a new bill regarding policing which will require them to consult with a health professional to discuss the right course of action before any statutory powers are used so that we can consider other ways of supporting individuals.

XVII. There is evidence of problems in an evening on Peel Parade and Shambles Street with people carrying full bags of alcohol; therefore can you look into this please?

The service advised they were aware of some individuals being displaced here, but they were not aware of these activities at night; therefore they will look into this.

XVIII. The EOs have been seen walking three abreast; is this the best use of resources?

The group were advised, to ensure the safety of these officers they do not work alone. Therefore when there are 3 on duty it is better for them to be out together rather than not at all.

XIX. A member of the committee advised of an incident on Eldon Street where young people were throwing things at cars by the Court House.

Members were advised the services' resources have been focussed in the Peel Square / Market Hill area, where there have been groups of 20-50 year olds. They are aware of the other dimension of groups of young people near Eldon Street who tend to target other young people rather than adults. However, the services are aware of this and in other areas.

XX. One of the key challenges with the current level of resources is ensuring the balance of enforcement between the town centre and other areas in the borough what are the future plans in relation to this capacity?

The service advised that they can't say they have all the resources they need and that this will continue in the future. The service advised they will do their best with what is available and working with our partners such as the police. We have the Leader's support in relation to this work; however we would need to bring you an update on this in due course.

XXI. How does the service ensure it engages with people properly to tackle antisocial behaviour rather than just displacing the problem?

The service advised that they recognise the need to understand the causes of behaviour and know they need to tackle this long-term and not just displace issues elsewhere.

XXII. Has there been any impact on the town centre redevelopments as a result of the European Union (EU) Referendum?

Members were advised the town centre regeneration is underway and is being led by Queensberry Estates. There has been no obvious impact and it is business as usual, with the building works due to continue until 2019. Problems may come to light when Queensbury Estates need to seek £50m investment from the private sector as there has been some tightening of investment in the financial market. For now it is business as usual however challenges may come to light at the end of this year and early next year.

XXIII. The future success of Barnsley relies on there being a thriving and vibrant town centre; how integral is the success of the PSPO in this?

The committee were advised the PSPO is critical to this which is why we have cross cutting governance arrangements between our enforcement and regeneration teams as we are creating a place, not just buildings. If it is not a nice place to visit and we don't address underlying issues, people will not come and spend their money here.

We have looked at other areas; for example Leeds has a vibrant Town Centre but has more problems than Barnsley, however this is masked by the high footfall. This doesn't mean that we want to mask the problems in Barnsley but we hope that the more people in the town centre will hide the problem while the issues themselves are also being addressed.

XXIV. What are people using for their highs? Linking with this, while ever there are a high number of pubs close together we will not be able to change the problems in the town centre; what is being done with our Licensing arrangements to tackle this?

The group were advised the geography of pubs in the town centre is similar to that of Doncaster, a central street with a large number of pubs, in close proximity of each other. We are looking at how psychoactive substances are influencing the younger generation in particular. However, retail of New Psychoactive Substances (NPS) (Legal Highs) became a criminal offence in May 2016; therefore there are no premises in the Borough licensed to trade these substances. The PSPO is one of the tools we will use to deal with these problems; there are always changes in legislation and licensing that help us also, however we need to ensure we are strict with our licensing policies.

XXV. Has there been any rise in hate crime following the EU Referendum?

Members were advised the most recent figures show there have been more incidents, although these are not necessarily associated with anti-social behaviour. It is difficult to say whether the increase is due to the EU referendum or whether there has been a general increase. We need to undertake more detailed analysis of the figures as there has also been increased confidence in reporting incidents. Activity on social media sites is also monitored and used as intelligence.

XXVI. There are lots of people using the town centre from a variety of countries; could there be instances of hate crimes going unreported?

The committee were advised the service is not picking up any underlying problems in relation to hate crime and the EU referendum; however the danger is that communities will withdraw. Therefore we need to encourage engagement in relation to this, both now and to prevent an impact on engagement in this area in the future.

XXVII. It is good to see the partnership working in the town centre; will this be implemented in other town centres?

The group was advised the service had to ensure they acted quickly to address the issues as the town centre has the highest profile; however they recognises the pressures in other areas.

The Chair thanked the witnesses for their attendance and contribution for this part of the meeting.

### 17 Draft Safer Barnsley Partnership Plan 2016-2020

The Chair welcomed the witnesses to the meeting which included:

- Wendy Lowder, Interim Executive Director, Communities Directorate
- Paul Hussey, Interim Service Director, Stronger, Safer Healthier Communities Directorate
- Paul Brannan, Head of Safer Barnsley, Communities Directorate
- Melanie Fitzpatrick, Strategy & Operations Manager, Communities Directorate
- Councillor Jenny Platts, Cabinet Spokesperson-Communities Directorate
- Chief Inspector Jakkie Hardy, South Yorkshire Police
- Inspector Julie Mitchell, South Yorkshire Police

Paul Hussey explained the Council has a duty to undertake an annual assessment regarding community safety and produce a community safety plan as well as establish domestic homicide reviews. The plan is reflective of cuts to public services, however also our strong partnership arrangements and our shared priorities over the next 3/4 years, particularly around community tolerance and cohesion. There is also a continued focus on crime and anti-social behaviour and protecting vulnerable members of our community. We each have separate organisational plans, however this partnership plan identifies where we will work together.

Members proceeded to ask the following questions:

i. Have the issues with the '101' telephone number now been resolved?

The committee were advised the 101 lines are under strain and this is the same for 999 calls, therefore the services are having to review how they manage their emergency response. The South Yorkshire Police call centre is under review and they are looking at the recruitment and retention of staff. We are looking at different aspects and where we can work together to multi-skill staff and pool resources; of which there is a 3-5 year plan for this.

ii. How can we give confidence to people to report crimes without them feeling vulnerable to repercussions?

The group were advised to encourage people to report crimes, reassurance can be given through the success stories; however this has to be peer-led with those who've reported crimes encouraging other members of the public to do this also. A lot of confidence comes from people knowing their local neighbourhood resource and who to contact. We are aware some of this was lost during the Police restructure; however we are trying to put some of this back. We are looking at a partnership approach to this so that people know about public services and who to contact.

In relation to our online offer, we are also looking to broaden this. Also, we have 2 Victim and Witness Support Officers who are able to go out and reassure people and there are no obvious signs of who they are.

iii. What are the key challenges for the Community Safety Partnership and what plans are in place to address these?

Members were advised there is a reduction in the current levels of policing due to austerity. The current model is restrictive; however we are putting plans in place to address this. Police Community Support Officers (PCSOs) are still used, although their numbers have been reduced. We are reviewing their role, including making sure people understand this, however they are not a panacea and we still need PCs. Their presence provides reassurance and means people express their concerns to them; however we are reviewing how we can use them most effectively and increase their visibility. We are aware police presence makes a big difference to people and gives them increased confidence in services. We need to make sure our service design is intelligence-led and there is appropriate distribution of resources.

iv. Is this a local or national initiative?

The committee were advised this is national, due to the need to realign services where resources are stretched due to reduction in budgets. All public services are reviewing themselves and the community safety partnership is key to this.

v. To what extent is there effective partnership working and sharing of intelligence amongst agencies; how much are Councillors part of this partnership; and are all key stakeholders on board and engaged with this work?

The group were advised the Safer Barnsley Partnership is a multi-agency partnership, including the fire service and the CCG. You need a 'place' approach to best target resources and we have buy in from local organisations. It is difficult to navigate the policy changes of all the different agencies such as in the NHS, including Sustainability and Transformation Plans (STPs). We also need to feed in the geography of Area Councils and Ward Alliances into our plans. Cllr Platts sits on the panel alongside other members.

vi. How can Members support the Community Safety Partnership to ensure positive outcomes for our local residents, particularly to promote other support services in our local areas such as community groups?

Members were advised the partnership needs to know what resources/services are available in communities before they go out and commission additional support. This work is in progress and Members form a key part of it, therefore Members need to be involved in this solution and influence its design.

vii. The service was congratulated on their work to reduce the harm from drugs and alcohol in the Borough and enabling people to access treatment. In relation to budgets and resources are these adequate and are you working in partnership with the Health and Wellbeing Board? Also, what is being done to ensure those who have completed treatment programmes are then not relapsing?

The service highlighted that Members will be aware of the Cabinet report in relation to reduced resources for substance misuse services as a result of funding withdrawn by Barnsley Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG). However it is noted that reduced resource doesn't always mean reduced service as we have found that there was some duplication of work. Also that some people had been discharged from services due to being too difficult to work with who we have picked up as a result of the PSPO. There are a number of outreach services available for those following receipt of treatment and we hope to strengthen this in our new service model from April 2017.

viii. There have been reports in the media of assaults on hospital staff by elderly dementia patients; have there been incidents in Barnsley?

The Police advised they receive a number of calls following incidents occurring in Barnsley Hospital, relating to both the Accident and Emergency department as a result of the night time economy as well as in relation to patients with mental health problems. Members were advised there is no set pattern they're aware of in relation to older people in mental health acute provision where NHS services manage people with severe needs. Also, it is important that we are careful not to criminalise these people. The Police advised they frequently review any incidents they have been involved in, such as where they have had to restrain someone, with SWYPFT and/or Barnsley Hospital so that any relevant changes to policies can be made and so they ensure staff are appropriately trained.

The number of admissions to Barnsley Hospital which are alcohol related has seen an increase. We are currently in dialogue with the CCG and know we need to strengthen the work done by GPs in this area, however plans are underway. In relation to substance misuse, we're in phase 2 of a pilot which NHS England have invested in in South Yorkshire regarding providing provision for people in the local community. This work enables the Police to make a straight referrals to mental health services.

The Chair thanked the witnesses for their attendance and contribution and declared the meeting closed.

## **Action Points**

- 1. Service to agenda Care Leavers' Accommodation at a future meeting of the CPP.
- 2. Service to investigate reported issues in an evening on Peel Parade and Shambles Street.
- 3. Service to provide an update on proposed resources regarding community safety and the PSPO.
- 4. Members to be involved in the work of the community safety partnership and understanding what resources exist in our communities before the service goes out to commission additional resources.